

|   |                                      |                                     |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/015,444 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>SUGGS ET AL. |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>William L. Miller | <b>Art Unit</b><br>3677             |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) William L. Miller.

(2) Carl Davis II.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 December 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
**Claims discussed:**

1-24

**Prior art documents discussed:**
Suggs (US#5785322); Koch (US#5913522); Allen (US#5275423); Shane (US#3404061)
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
Claims 1-15 were canceled. Claim 16 was amended to overcome the prior art of record. New claims 21-24 were added as claim 21 includes the allowable subject matter of claim 16.
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**WILLIAM L. MILLER**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)